

## CITY OF WEST KELOWNA PUBLIC HEARING LATE ITEMS AGENDA

Tuesday, November 26, 2019, 6:00 P.M.
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
2760 CAMERON ROAD, WEST KELOWNA, BC

Pages

## \*2. INTRODUCTION OF LATE ITEMS

2

Letters received from the following:

- George Jarycki
- Joan E. Taylor
- Donna M. Rosa
- Nancy Majetich
- Matt Dober, Flora Cannabis

From:

dev services

To:

Chris Oliver; Collette Beggs

Subject:

FW: Notice of Public Hearing (Z 19-11) Zoning Amendment Bylaw No.0154.85

Date:

November 18, 2019 9:08:55 AM

From: Joanne Beloin < Joanne. Beloin@westkelownacity.ca>

Sent: November-18-19 8:40 AM

To: dev services <dev.services@westkelownacity.ca>; Tracey Batten

<Tracey.Batten@westkelownacity.ca>; Shelley Schnitzler <shelley.schnitzler@westkelownacity.ca>

Subject: FW: Notice of Public Hearing (Z 19-11) Zoning Amendment Bylaw No.0154.85



## JOANNE BELOIN | LEGISLATIVE SERVICES - CLERK II | CITY HALL

2760 Cameron Road, West Kelowna, BC V1Z 2T6

778.797.1000 | www.westkelownacity.ca

**C**ONNECT WITH US ONLINE

CITY OF WEST KELOWNA | FACEBOOK | TWITTER | SIGN UP FOR ENOTICES

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and destroy all copies of this communication.

From: George Jarycki <

Sent: November-16-19 9:05 AM

To: info west kelowna < info@westkelownacity.ca>

Subject: Notice of Public Hearing (Z 19-11) Zoning Amendment Bylaw No.0154.85

I live at 3677 Brown Rd and the building in question is seen from my balcony. I as other tenants strongly appose to the proposed facility which will foul the area. We already have 2 stores in the town that cater to marijuana smokers. Thank you for the attention.

Nov. 18, 2019

GITY OF WEST KELOWNA DEVELOPMENT SERVICES



ATT: GITY GLERK

WITH REFERENCE TO: FILE # Z-19-11 (B.C. CANNIBUS STOKE) BYLAW# 0154.85

FROM: JOAN E. TAYKOR 103-3767 BROWNRD. WEST KELOWNA, B.G. V4TIYI

I AM ADAMENTLY OPPOSED TO THE ZOING PIMENDMENT BYLAW.

GURRENTLY WE HAVE A GROWING HOMELESS POPULATION THAT OCCUPIES
THIS AREA GEAR ROUND PRESENTING PRODLEMS FOR BOTH RESIDENTIAL AND BUSINESS OWNERS.



A SCHOOL IS LOCATED ON EXLIBIT ST. ONE BLOCK OVER.

(1/2)



	WE ARE ALL TAXPAYERS AND THIS AMENDMENT ROULD DO NOTHING TO ENHANCE OUR COMMUNITY OR OUR PROPERTY VALUE.
	HMENDMENT ROULD DO NOTHING TO
and a superior of the superior	PRODERTII VALUE
and the second s	FUTURE CONCERNS ARE TRAFFIC AND PARKING ON BROWN RD.
an Alexander and Alexander of Alexander and Alexander and Alexander and Alexander and Alexander and Alexander a	AND PARKING ON BROWN RD.
Hadi yake Thadahaka kali u sa Marandad hadan Kanaka yaya u sa usa sa sansar	- Cuare Char For Clara A-E-1-1001
primer report # 1 of #12 of ref code (make microscopic) in	THANK YOUR FOR YOUR ATTENTION,
e vierne, in alle a comment of the land around the same and the same a	THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION, Doan 6. Saylot,
anna a mana haga (hada ay ce anyaka ay kana ay ace anyaka ay kana ay kana ay kana ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka k K	
Prima na paga ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	
de plante de plante de la constitución de la consti	
Annanthonic control of the sound of the stay of the sound	
and the second of the second o	
and the second s	
-	
Portugen were than a sound of playing engine species groups	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Programme and the second secon	
,,,,,	



#306 3767 Brown Road West Kelowna V4T 1X1 Nov. 15, 3019

To billy of West Helowna Stevelop ment Acroices ATT: CITY CLERK

I am writing to express my opposition and concern regarding ZONING AMENDMEN BYLAW NO 0154, 85

Tristly, is concern for traffic through the bottleneck between the 2 parts of the Highway Through Brown Road. Presently it is different to get through at certain and no room when exiting to wait for 2 lights to Brown Road.

Secondly, I am concerned that this something clienteled may be questionable. Consequently, the site may become a meeting place for theirly I am cancerned that were property I have will diminish in view of the above. Mark epu for your lowederation of their matter.

Sincerely Sound in! Boxa

Subject Line Zoning AMENDMENT BYLAW A GENESON 4
FILE# Z 19-11 (BC Caronal 180) FITTO: CITY CLERK WriTTER SUBMISSION OF 3767 JAM A RESIDENT + OWNER OF a CORDO ON Brown ROAD in West Kelowna, B.C. 19 Am Writing to Express my opposition to a Possible Zoning Amenoment By Law No. 0154.85; Subject Property on Brown ROAD. I respectfully Disagree" + here are the reasons why It would be it in Safe for Senior Cityens we already have Vandalism issues, Also Anna Senior Cirizen who is Afraid Walkon Brown Ro, and Surrounding AREAS due to being harrassed and HOUL LANGUAGE USED + THREATS USED toward me and I Truly Believe the SITUATION WOULD Be Worse having a Cannabis Retail Stale on Brown Rond ALSO, a Major Problem having a Cannabis store so close to our Children" that attend George Pringle SCHOOL ON ELLIOTI ROAD.

Page 6 of 9 See ATTACHED

- SPEEDing on Brown Ro, already - have this problem; + might get Worse
there will be Increased traffic Moise Pollution (have already) might also "Worsen on Brown RD. Physical Pollotion (We already have this problem Inpuisonals proposes ALL Kinds of GARBAGE ON BROWN KO - Exhaust Pollotion from more vehicles would hiso worsen" if The Cannabis fetail Storce come unto Brown Ro a My reighbourhood would be Mostly.

2 mpacted by this Project out to. again more Vanoalism, carthet, transiets, Traffic Problems, more Houts And CHILBREN ON CANNADISON Liquor or BOTH 91.00 THIS to be too "Risky" to have this store on Brown Ro We on Brown ROAD ARE COILED "THE GHETTO "ONE to above Problems My Friends + Recatives are Afraid. To Visit or Park THEIR Jehicles on O Our Parking Grounds or on Brown KD As a Senior Citizen & have Rights to Safety Privacy + Quiet Don't See Any Berkefits having a Cannabis Store; What 9 De -! Sec is ALOT OF Afegations Conyil Nancy Mark il Beaun Ro. W. Felowna







November 25, 2019

Your Worship and Honorable Councillors,

Re: Application: Z 19-11, Site Specific Text Amendment (PH), 2475 Dobbin Road Legal: Lot A

District Lot 486 ODYD Plan 36181

Owner: Anterra Westbank Towne Centre LTD.
Agent: BC Liquor Distribution Branch (LDB)

I am the president of FLORA Cannabis, one of the five approved operators of a retail cannabis store in the City of West Kelowna. I am writing to you to express our company's concerns over the application brought forth by the BC Liquor Distribution Branch to operate a retail cannabis store within a unit located at 2475 Dobbin Road, just 50 meters from our approved location at 3710 Hoskins Rd.

As you are aware, Council adopted a comprehensive Non-Medical Cannabis Retail Store Rezoning Policy on October 2, 2018, which limited the number of stores in West Kelowna to 5. The Policy also established a comprehensive evaluation matrix under which all applications were compared to determine the suitable locations and operators for the City of West Kelowna. As you will recall, this was a highly competitive process, wherein all applicants contributed significant time and resources.

We acknowledge that Council has the discretion to exempt applications from the Policy, but we submit that doing so now would be premature and unfair to prior applicants.

To date, there are no cannabis stores operating in the City and there is no further information available to Council to base its decision to exempt the applicant from the Policy. This City of West Kelowna has not yet had the opportunity to evaluate the impact of this new industry on the community. The Policy was designed to select the very best initial applicants and locations to assist in future decision making and Policy, but there has not yet been enough time or experience to do so.

Furthermore, we submit that exempting the Province from the Policy puts the Province in an unfair competitive position to other business owners in the City. Unlike the initial applicants, the Province is not subject to the competitive initial intake process, which restricted the applications by number and geographic area. It is very likely that some of the initial applicants that were rejected based on geographic area would have scored higher than the Province in the competitive process.

Finally, we submit that that exempting the Province from the Policy prejudices successful applicants like ourselves, who made business and investment decisions based on the Policy adopted by Council. We are businesspeople and we appreciate the reality of competition in the market. That being the case, we

should be afforded a reasonable opportunity to carry on business under the Policy framework, at least until the City has had the opportunity to consider Policy changes based on objective and measurable criteria.

My short-lived experience in the legal cannabis industry has opened my eyes to the dichotomy of public policy pressures faced by policy makers, and I don't envy your position as decision makers. That being the case, Council has adopted a Policy based on well-founded principles and I encourage you not to abandon that Policy at the very first opportunity. Only time and experience should shape Policy changes at this critical juncture in this new industry.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly if you have any further comments or concerns.

Sincerely,

**FLORA CANNABIS**