

From: [REDACTED]
To: [City of West Kelowna Submissions](#)
Subject: DP 22-20
Date: November 3, 2023 11:56:31 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. **The City will never ask for personal or account information or account password through email.** If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, please forward to westkelowna@phishforward.beaucheronsecurity.com.

Attn: Corporate Officer

We object to the issuance of a Development Permit with Variance No. DP 22-20.

The variances are so egregious that it is abundantly clear that the proposed development is being shoehorned into a site that is clearly not fit for the purpose.

1. Retaining Wall - Increase 173%
2. Building Height - Increase 57%
3. Boundary set back - Decrease 37%
4. Two-way access - Decrease 14%
5. Parking distance to front parcel boundary - Decrease 87%

The safety of pedestrians and road users is of great concern.

The proposal will serve to make the blind corner just yards from the development even more hazardous. The approach to the blind corner narrows significantly on account of heavily used street parking.

It will also increase traffic on the other blind corner at the Auburn Road/Shannon Ridge Drive junction.

Submitted by:
Robert McMahon and Meredith White-McMahon
306-2120 Shannon Ridge Drive,
West Kelowna,
B.C. V4T 2Z3

From: [REDACTED]
To: [City of West Kelowna Submissions](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: development permit with variance DP 22-20
Date: November 2, 2023 2:45:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. The City will never ask for personal or account information or account password through email. If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, please forward to westkelowna@phishforward.beauchersecurity.com.

As a registered owner of #13, 2175 Shannon Ridge Drive, with my daughter Carol Ann Bartlett, I am responding to your notice of a Development Permit application with variances for Lot A, DL 2044, ODYD, Plan KAP81833.

As a previous 20 year member and chair of the City of Victoria Board of Variance, it is my understanding that minor variance are given to those who are aggrieved by by-law requirements. Minor is described as that which will not provide harmful results on adjoining property owners, nor will affect the quality of life or create a financial burden to residents.

The applicant is requesting five variances:

1. retaining wall height from 2.5 to 6.84m an increase of 4.34m or 173.6%
2. building height from 12m to 18.87m an increase of 6.87m or 57.25%
3. interior side parcel boundary setback from 4.5m to 2.83m a decrease of 1.67m or 37%
4. two way access from 7m to 6m no objection subject to roads and traffic by-laws conformity
5. minimum distance parking and loading spaces from 3m to .37m, no objection except it seems a very minimal space for safety reasons.

As previously mentioned our understanding is that minor variances are given for items where the physical configuration of property boundaries or topographical restraints make it difficult to conform to existing by-law requirements.

Our objections are stated and we request council declining items 1, 2 and 3 of the application
David Bartlett